



Statistics from Discrete Data Histograms



What is $\bar{x}$ and s (standard deviation) of this data?

Statistics from Discrete Data Histograms

What is $\bar{x}$ and s (standard deviation) of this data?



$$\begin{aligned}\bar{x} &= \sum_{x_i} x_i f(x) \\ &= 1(0.2) + 2(0.3) + 3(0) + 4(0.5) \\ &= 2\frac{13}{15} \approx 2.8\bar{6}\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}s^2 &= \sum_{x_i} (x_i - \bar{x})^2 f(x) \\ &= (1 - \bar{x})^2(0.2) + (2 - \bar{x})^2(0.3) + \\ &\quad (3 - \bar{x})^2(0) + (4 - \bar{x})^2(0.5) \\ &= 1.56\bar{4}\end{aligned}$$

$$s = \sqrt{s^2} \approx 1.251$$

Recall that a **discrete data histogram**:

- ▶ is an approximation of the *point mass function* for the underlying distribution
- ▶ the average and standard deviation ($\bar{x}$, s) of a discrete data histogram are the **same** as the average and standard deviation of the sample itself — probably not the same as the underlying distribution (due to sampling).

Section 4.3: Continuous-Data Histograms

- Consider a real-valued sample $\mathcal{S} = \{x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n\}$
- Data values are generally distinct
- Assume lower and upper bounds a, b


$$a \leq x_i < b \quad i = 1, 2, \dots, n$$

- Defines interval of possible values for random variable X

$$\mathcal{X} = [a, b) = \{x \mid a \leq x < b\}$$

- Partition the interval $\mathcal{X} = [a, b)$ into k equal-width bins

$$[a, b) = \bigcup_{j=0}^{k-1} \mathcal{B}_j = \mathcal{B}_0 \cup \mathcal{B}_1 \cup \dots \cup \mathcal{B}_{k-1}$$



- The bins are $\mathcal{B}_0 = [a, a + \delta)$, $\mathcal{B}_1 = [a + \delta, a + 2\delta)$...
- Width of each bin is $\delta = (b - a)/k$

Continuous Data Histogram

- For each $x \in [a, b)$, there is a unique bin $\mathcal{B}_j$ with $x \in \mathcal{B}_j$
- Estimated *density* of random variable X is

$$\hat{f}(x) = \frac{\text{the number of } x_i \in \mathcal{S} \text{ for which } x_i \in \mathcal{B}_j}{n \delta}$$

- Continuous-data histogram: a “bar” plot of $\hat{f}(x)$ versus x
- *Density*: relative frequency normalized via division by δ
- $\hat{f}(x)$ is piecewise constant


Histogram Parameter Guidelines

- Choose a, b so that few, if any, data points are outliers
- If k is too large (δ is too small), histogram will be “noisy”
- If k is too small (δ is too large), histogram will be too “smooth”
- Keep figure aesthetics in mind
- Typically $\lfloor \log_2(n) \rfloor \leq k \leq \lfloor \sqrt{n} \rfloor$ with a bias toward

$$k \cong \lfloor (5/3)\sqrt[3]{n} \rfloor$$

Example 4.3.2: Smooth, Noisy Histograms

- $k = 10$ ($\delta = 0.2$) gives perhaps too smooth a histogram
- $k = 40$ ($\delta = 0.05$) gives too noisy a histogram




- Guidelines: $9 \leq k \leq 31$ with bias toward $k \cong \lfloor (5/3)\sqrt[3]{1000} \rfloor = 16$
- Note no vertical lines to horizontal axis

Relative Frequency

- Define p_j to be the *relative frequency* of points in bin $\mathcal{B}_j$
- Define the *bin midpoints*

$$m_j = a + \left(j + \frac{1}{2}\right) \delta \quad j = 0, 1, \dots, k-1$$



- Then $p_j = \delta \hat{f}(m_j)$
- Note that $p_0 + p_1 + \dots + p_{k-1} = 1$ and $\hat{f}(\cdot)$ has unit area

$$\int_a^b \hat{f}(x) dx = \dots = \sum_{j=0}^{k-1} p_j = 1$$

Histogram Integrals

- Consider the two integrals

$$\int_a^b x \hat{f}(x) dx \qquad \int_a^b x^2 \hat{f}(x) dx$$

- Because $\hat{f}(\cdot)$ is piecewise constant, integrals become summations

$$\int_a^b x \hat{f}(x) dx = \dots = \sum_{j=0}^{k-1} m_j p_j$$

$$\int_a^b x^2 \hat{f}(x) dx = \dots = \left(\sum_{j=0}^{k-1} m_j^2 p_j \right) + \frac{\delta^2}{12}$$

- Continuous-data histogram mean, standard deviation are defined in terms of these integrals

Histogram Mean and Standard Deviation

- Continuous-data histogram mean and standard deviation:

$$\bar{x} = \int_a^b x \hat{f}(x) dx \qquad s = \sqrt{\int_a^b (x - \bar{x})^2 \hat{f}(x) dx}$$

- $\bar{x}$ and s can be evaluated *exactly* by summation

$$\bar{x} = \sum_{j=0}^{k-1} m_j p_j$$

$$s = \sqrt{\left(\sum_{j=0}^{k-1} (m_j - \bar{x})^2 p_j \right) + \frac{\delta^2}{12}} \qquad \text{or} \qquad s = \sqrt{\left(\sum_{j=0}^{k-1} m_j^2 p_j \right) - \bar{x}^2 + \frac{\delta^2}{12}}$$

- Some choose to ignore the $\delta^2/12$ term

Quantization Error

- Continuous-data histogram $\bar{x}$, s will differ slightly from sample $\bar{x}$, s
- *Quantization error* associated with binning of continuous data
- If difference is not slight, a , b , and k (or δ) should be adjusted
- **Example 4.3.3:** 1000-point buffon sample

Let $a = 0.0$, $b = 2.0$, and $k = 20$

	raw data	histogram	histogram with $\delta = 0$
$\bar{x}$	1.135	1.134	1.134
s	0.424	0.426	0.425

Essentially no impact of $\delta^2/12$ term

Why would we ever bother calculating $\bar{x}$ and s from a histogram when we have Welford's Equations for calculating both terms in an efficient and accurate manner?

Empirical Cumulative Distribution Functions


- Drawback of CDH: need to choose k
- Two different choices for k can give quite different histograms
- Estimated cumulative distribution function for random variable X :

$$\hat{F}(x) = \frac{\text{the number of } x_i \in \mathcal{S} \text{ for which } x_i \leq x}{n}$$

- *Empirical cumulative distribution function*: plot of $\hat{F}(x)$ versus x
- With an empirical CDF, no parameterization required
- However, must store all the data and then sort

Example 4.3.7: An Empirical CDF

- $n = 50$ observations of the needle from buffon



- Upward step of $1/50$ for each of the values generated

Continuous Data Histogram:

- Superior for detecting *shape* of distribution
- Arbitrary parameter selection is not ideal

Empirical Cumulative Distribution Function:

- Nonparametric, therefore less prone to sampling variability
- Shape is less distinct than that of a CDH
- Requires storing and sorting entire data set
- Often used for statistical “goodness-of-fit” tests